

## $L(\mathcal{B}; F, G; \alpha, \beta)$ is continuous

Let  $F$  and  $G$  be homogeneous coprime square-free polynomials in  $k[U, V]$  where  $k$  is a number field.  $\mathcal{B}$  is the set of bad primes which consists of primes dividing infinity or 2, primes at which any coefficient of  $F$  or  $G$  is not integral, primes modulo which  $FG$  is not separable.

We define

$$L(\mathcal{B}; F, G, \alpha, \beta) = \prod_{p \notin \mathcal{B}, p | G(\alpha, \beta)} (F(\alpha, \beta), G(\alpha, \beta))_p$$

where  $\alpha, \beta$  are integers of  $k$  coprime outside  $\mathcal{B}$  to each other. Note that  $F(\alpha, \beta)$  is unit at each prime occurring in the product.

Define

$$M(\alpha, \beta) = L(\mathcal{B}, F, G, \alpha, \beta)L(\mathcal{B}, U, V, \alpha, \beta)^{(deg F)(deg G)}.$$

Note that if  $deg(F)$  is even,  $L = M$ .

By the Hilbert product formula,

$$L(f, g)L(g, f) = \prod_{v \in \mathcal{B}} (f(\alpha, \beta), g(\alpha, \beta))_v.$$

So continuity of  $L(f, g)$  is same with that of  $L(g, f)$ .

And if  $deg(f) \geq deg(g)$ , for any  $h$ , a homogeneous polynomial of degree  $deg(f) - deg(g)$ ,  $L(f - gh, g) = L(f, g)$ .

The same is hold for  $M$ .

By the property of Hilbert symbol,  $L(fh, g) = L(f, g)L(h, g)$  and  $L(f, gh) = L(f, g)L(f, h)$ .

So we may assume  $F$  and  $G$  are irreducible and  $deg(F) \geq deg(G)$ .

If  $G$  is constant, all primes dividing  $G$  are already in  $\mathcal{B}$ . Therefore  $L = M = 1$ , so continuous.

If  $G$  is  $V$ , by subtracting every term containing  $V$  from  $F$ , we may assume  $F = aU^r$ . But in this case it follows easily that  $M$  is continuous.

We will proceed by induction on  $\deg(F)\deg(G)$ . By the irreducible assumption,  $\delta =$  coefficient of  $U^r$  in  $F$  and  $\gamma =$  the coefficient of  $U^s$  in  $G$  are non-zero.

Let's enlarge  $\mathcal{B}$  to  $\mathcal{B}_1$  by adjoining the primes dividing the  $\gamma$ . Then,

$$M(\mathcal{B}_1, F, G) = M(\mathcal{B}_1, F - \gamma\delta^{-1}U^{r-s}G, G) = M(\mathcal{B}_1, F', G)M(\mathcal{B}_1, V, G)$$

are continuous in the  $\mathcal{B}_1$ -adic topology by the induction hypothesis.

The difference of  $M(\mathcal{B}_1, F, G)$  and  $M(\mathcal{B}, F, G)$  is a product of continuous factors which are continuous in the  $\mathcal{B}_1$ -adic topology. So  $M(\mathcal{B}, F, G)$  is continuous in the  $\mathcal{B}_1$ -adic topology.

We can find a unimodular linear transformation which make  $\gamma'$ , the leading coefficient of  $G$  is coprime with  $\gamma$  outside  $\mathcal{B}$ . If  $\mathcal{B}_2$  is the enlargement of  $\mathcal{B}$  corresponding to  $\gamma'$ , by the same argument  $M(\mathcal{B}, F, G)$  is continuous in the  $\mathcal{B}_2$ -adic topology. But  $\mathcal{B}_1 \cap \mathcal{B}_2 = \mathcal{B}$ .

So  $M(\mathcal{B}, F, G)$  is continuous in the  $\mathcal{B}$ -adic topology.  $\square$

## A result related to the Schinzel's Hypothesis

Let  $P_1(X), \dots, P_n(X)$  be monic irreducible polynomials over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  is the set of bad primes consisting of  $\infty, 2$ , primes at which a coefficient of  $P_i(X)$  is not integral and primes modulo which the reduction of  $\prod P_i$  is not separable. Then for given  $N \geq \sum \deg(P_i)$ , there are a number field  $k$  with  $[k : \mathbb{Q}] = N$  and  $\xi$ , an integer in  $k$  such that

$$P_i(\xi) = \text{a first degree prime in } k \times \text{junk}_1 \times \text{junk}_2$$

where  $\text{junk}_1$  comes from the bad primes and  $\text{junk}_2$  has some various possibility. We can choose  $\text{junk}_2$  is a square in  $k$  to behave nicely on the Hilbert symbol.

The main problem is to find  $G(X)$ , an irreducible polynomial of degree  $N$  with the suitable properties.

Let  $R(X) = \prod P_i(X)$ ,  $R_i(X) = \frac{R(X)}{P_i(X)}$

For any polynomial  $G$  of degree  $N$ , we get

$$\frac{G(X)}{R(X)} = Q(X) + \sum \frac{\psi_i(X)}{P_i(X)}$$

or

$$G(X) = Q(X)R(X) + \sum \psi_i(X)R_i(X).$$

Let  $\lambda$  be a solution of  $G$  and  $\lambda_i$  be a solution of  $P_i$ .  $\phi_i = \psi_i(\lambda_i)$ .

Then the resultant of  $G(X)$  and  $P_i(X)$  is

$$\pm \prod (\lambda - \lambda_i) = \pm N_{\mathbb{Q}(\lambda_i)/\mathbb{Q}}(G(\lambda_i)) = \pm N_{\mathbb{Q}(\lambda)/\mathbb{Q}}(P_i(\lambda)).$$

But  $G(\lambda_i) = \phi_i R_i(\lambda_i)$ .

Note that  $R_i(\lambda_i)$  is unit outside  $\mathcal{B}$ . And an approximation argument provides the existence of irreducible polynomial  $G$  such that  $\phi_i$  is a product of degree 1 prime outside  $\mathcal{B}$  and primes in  $\mathcal{B}$  and a squares in  $k = \mathbb{Q}(\lambda)$ . So the result follows.

Moreover we can choose  $G$  arbitrary closed to any given degree  $N$  polynomials  $G_v(X)$  over  $\mathbb{Q}_v$  for all  $v \in \mathcal{B}$ .

## Solubility of Del Pezzo surface of degree 4

A Del Pezzo surface of degree 4,  $V$  is realized as an intersection of two quadrics in

$\mathbb{P}^4$ . Coray showed that a Del Pezzo surface defined over  $k$  of characteristic 0 which contains a point in a extension field of  $k$  with odd extension degree has a rational point.

**Lemma** If  $V$  has a 0-cycle of degree 2 and a 0-cycle of odd degree  $n$ , then  $V$  is soluble.

**Proof** We will proceed by the induction on  $n$ . Pick integer  $d$  such that  $2d(d+1) > n > 2d(d-1)$ . The hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{P}^4$  of degree  $d$  give a system of curves on  $V$  of dimension  $2d(d+1)$ .

choose a pencil of curves passing through the original 0-cycle and  $\frac{1}{2}(2d(d+1) - n - 1)$  0-cycles of degree 2.



The self-intersection points of the pencil form a 0-cycle of degree  $4d^2$ . So we have new 0-cycle of degree  $4d^2 - 2d(d + 1) - 1 = 2d(d - 1) + 1$ . So if  $d \neq 2d(d - 1) + 1$  we have done.

If so, we may choose a pencils of curves passing through the original cycle and  $\frac{1}{2}(2d(d + 1) - n - 5)$  cycles of degree 2 having a double point at one 2-cycle.

Then the 2-cycle is a base point of the pencil with multiplicity 4, and so we get a new 0-cycle of degree  $2d(d - 1) - 1$ .  $\square$

The original result comes from the above lemma and the fact that  $V$  is a intersection of two quadrics.