Height functions and the Mordell-Weil theorem

Padmavathi Srinivasan

Week 5

Starting from today's lecture, we will exclusively work with logarithmic heights.

Theorem 1 (Mordell-Weil)**.** *Let E/K be an elliptic curve defined over a number field K. Then $E(K)$ is a finitely generated abelian group. In other words, staring with a finite set of points in $E(K)$, and iterating the construction using secant and tangent lines, one can generate all points in $E(K)$.*

There are two key steps in the proof of the Mordell-Weil theorem. The first step, commonly referred to as the Weak Mordell-Weil theorem is to show $E(K)/2E(K)$ is finite. Note that this step alone is not enough since there are abelian groups A that are not finitely generated for which $A/2A$ is finite, for example $A = \mathbb{Q}$. The second step is deducing the Mordell-Weil theorem from its weak version and is commonly referred to as the "descent" step for reasons that will become apparent below. The descent step crucially uses the theory of heights of points on elliptic curves. Defining the canonical height function $\hat{h}_E \colon E(K) \to \mathbb{R}$ and understanding how it interacts with the group structure of $E(K)$ is the main goal of today's lecture.

Definition 2. The Weil height function of an elliptic curve E defined over a number field K is the function[1]

$$h_E \colon E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \to \mathbb{R}$$
$$P \mapsto h(x(P))$$

Lemma 3. *Northcott property The number of points of $E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ of bounded height and bounded degree is finite.*

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of the Northcott property of heights of algebraic numbers, since for each value of the x-coordinate on E, there are at most two values of y-coordinate, so once the possibilities for the x-coordinate are bounded, so are the possibilities for the y-coordinate. $\square$

We would like to understand how the height function defined above interacts with the group law on the elliptic curve. We will first introduce the big-O notation for comparing real-valued functions on a set whose difference is bounded. This will be used throughout the rest of this lecture.

---

[1]One can replace the function x below by an arbitrary element of $K(x)$ and define an analogous height function. The new height function one obtains this way is closely related to the one corresponding to the one above, so we stick to the height function x for simplicity.

The Quadratic Growth of the Height on Abelian Varieties

We illustrate with the elliptic curve and point

$$E : y^2 = x^3 + x + 1 \qquad \text{and} \qquad P = (0, 1).$$

Here is a table of $H(x(nP))$ for $n = 1, 2, \ldots, 25$.

```
1   1
2   5
3   13
4   36
5   685
6   7082
7   196249
8   9781441
9   645438601
10  54086091634
11  23545957756733
12  3348618159624516
13  34385059967052707785
14  23892797340433260285530
15  3568842156502352501081681
16  914717402820158485660040993
17  40437302897155037003168409200281
18  14404105284459507716715503562518225
19  40775514275509612663654186170700824687981
20  29247742836717181569573123126609389058628633
21  164466218362390503094399245975871795936036909933
22  7679555980744445014603395204824802547437770646132570
23  60373907957065145403976427391323834292336484562142661050001
24  816297670339391600569443783880636243150350122955944492527869179
25  24251373894917895223480648369446561659631390124939058301320900605073
26  47803232530993255650947142149190852433490529383768685707584733838674976289
27  675596597820396172378411845169923027826516041423855009596493876101039323943161661
28  320143454860370386845215457886788916106656761568250921029903565733314360954045429022861
29  7536607910086035518337741437694386625942695543442300130754246514653178879483246865183904333
30  2355960977134063307386098720552422422374156906075470452906863413779588759109790328486048725940590042
31  949929776724866709094954536710367783264016149614174316392397495249310420923110774156736707015736622241
32  093533778696354716684041902272196447218260363284506338819716402860008767535035492682755424306463306237000644865
33  11850000962723086508086171272906919246171433367826816050093210615283874452951960081163992228387627550567336088640513
34  14704961636587942149612296174369213114017850210220498201965316622031402964539002285644372077783665331864099024895753 3872721
35  1070105924589999405619557021803020506584617403927740244303407757989038725147917168862586549941983649787650941985457904600326401460918221
```

> Notice the parabolic shape, reflecting the quadratic growth in the number of digits.

Definition 4. Suppose S is a set and f, g are two functions $S \to \mathbb{R}$. We write $f = g + O(1)$ if there are constants C_1, C_2 such that for all $s \in S$, we have

$$C_1 \le f(s) - g(s) \le C_2.$$

The main theorem connecting the height function h_E and the group law on E is the following almost parallelogram law.

Theorem 5. [Sil09, Chapter 8, Theorem 6.2] *Let E be an elliptic curve over a number field K. Then for all $P, Q \in E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$, we have*

$$h_E(P + Q) + h_E(P - Q) = 2h_E(P) + 2h_E(Q) + O(1), \tag{1}$$

where the implied constants in $O(1)$ depend on E, but are independent of the pair of points P, Q. In particular, it follows that for any integer $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$h_E(mP) = m^2 h_E(P) + O(1), \tag{2}$$

where the implied constants in the $O(1)$ notation depend only on E and m and not on the point P.

Suggested exercises 6. Deduce 2 from 1.

The exponent 2 in the expression $h_E(mP) = m^2 h_E(P) + O(1)$ is illustrated in the parabolic shape of the heights of x-coordinates above. (This graph is from [Sil06].) We temporarily postpone the proof of this theorem and will first show how one can carry out the descent step in the proof of the Mordell-Weil theorem from the theorem above. The descent step is even easier using the theory of canonical heights of elliptic curves, which obeys an exact parallelogram law instead of an almost parallelogram law.

2

Definition 7. (Tate) The canonical or Néron-Tate height on an elliptic curve E over a number field K is the function[2]

$$\hat{h}_E \colon E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \to \mathbb{R}$$

$$P \mapsto \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{h_E(2^N P)}{2 \cdot 4^N}$$

Proposition 8. [Sil09, Chapter 8, Proposition 9.1] *The canonical height function is well-defined, i.e., the limit in the definition of the canonical height function exists.*

Proof. We will show that the sequence $4^{-N} h_E(2^N P)$ is Cauchy. Theorem 5 with $m = 2$ tells us that there is a constant C such that for any Q in $E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$,

$$|h_E(2Q) - 4h_E(Q)| \le C.$$

Let $N \ge M \ge 0$. We will repeatedly use the inequality above applied to the sequence of points $Q = 2^M P, 2^{M+1} P, \ldots, 2^{N-1} P$ below to show

$$|4^{-N} h_E(2^N P) - 4^{-M} h_E(2^M P)| \le 4^{-M} C. \tag{3}$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|4^{-N} h_E(2^N P) - 4^{-M} h_E(2^M P)| &= \left| \sum_{n=M}^{N-1} (4^{-n-1} h_E(2^{n+1} P) - 4^{-n} h_E(2^n P)) \right| \\
&\le \sum_{n=M}^{N-1} (4^{-n-1} |h_E(2^{n+1} P) - 4 h_E(2^n P))| \\
&\le \sum_{n=M}^{N-1} 4^{-n-1} C \\
&\le 4^{-M} C. \qquad \square
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 9. *The canonical height function $\hat{h}_E \colon E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the following properties:*

(a) *(Northcott) $|2\hat{h}_E - h_E|$ is a bounded function on $E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$. Hence, the set of points of $E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ with bounded canonical height is finite.*

(b) *(Parallelogram law) Let $P, R \in E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ be any two points of $E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$. Then, we have*

$$\hat{h}_E(P + R) + \hat{h}_E(P - R) = 2\hat{h}_E(P) + 2\hat{h}_E(R). \tag{4}$$

In particular, for any positive integer m, we have

$$\hat{h}_E(mP) = m^2 \hat{h}_E(P) \quad \text{(canonicity)}, \tag{5}$$

and

$$\hat{h}_E(P + R) \le 2\hat{h}_E(P) + 2\hat{h}_E(R). \tag{6}$$

---

[2]For the height h_f associated to an arbitrary even rational function $f \in K(x)$, the expression on the right hand side gets replaced by $\frac{h_E(2^N P)}{\deg(f) \cdot 4^N}$. The limiting value can be shown to be independent of choice of f.

(c) (*Uniqueness*) *Any function $\hat{h}' \colon E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying a and Equation 5 for any one integer $m \geq 2$ is equal to $\hat{h}_E$.*

Proof. Taking $M = 0$ and letting $N \to \infty$ in Equation 3 proves part a. Part b can be deduced from Theorem 5 and Definition 7 as follows. Replace P and Q in Theorem 5 by $2^N P$ and $2^N Q$, divide both sides by $2 \cdot 4^N$ and take the limit as $N \to \infty$ – this gets rid of the implied constants coming from the $O(1)$ term and converts the almost parallelogram law to an exact parallelogram law. The equality $\hat{h}_E(mP) = m^2 \hat{h}_E(P)$ can be proved by induction on m. Inequality 6 follows from the equality 4 since $\hat{h}_E(P - R) \geq 0$.

For part c, consider the function $g = \hat{h}' - \hat{h}_E$. We want to show that g is identically 0. On the one hand, since both $\hat{h}'$ and $\hat{h}_E$ satisfy a, it follows that their difference g is a bounded function on $E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$. On the other hand, if there is a point P such that $g(P) \neq 0$, then subtracting equation 5 for $\hat{h}'$ and $\hat{h}_E$ tells us that $g(mP) = m^2 g(P)$, and hence g is an unbounded function if $m \geq 2$, which is a contradiction. Hence g must be identically zero, or equivalently, that $\hat{h}' = \hat{h}_E$. $\qquad\square$

Whenever we have a canonical height function on a group (i.e. a height function that plays well with the group law and obeys an equation like 5), we get a corresponding nice characterization of points of lowest height –

Corollary 10. *Let $P \in E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$. Then $\hat{h}_E(P) \geq 0$. Furthermore $\hat{h}_E(P) = 0$ if and only if P is a torsion point.*

Proof. $\hat{h}_E(P)$ is a limit of non-negative values and is therefore also non-negative. If P is a torsion point, then the set of values $h_E(2^N P)$ as N varies is bounded, and therefore $\hat{h}_E(P) = \lim_{N \to \infty} 2^{-1} 4^{-N} h_E(2^N P) = 0$. If $\hat{h}_E(P) = 0$ and P is defined over a finite extension L of K, then the set of points $\{P, 2P, 3P, \ldots\}$ is a set of points of bounded height (since $\hat{h}_E(mP) = m^2 \hat{h}_E(P) = 0$ for any integer m by canonicity) and bounded degree (all multiples of P are defined over the same number field L), and by the Northcott property is finite. This means there are $N > M \geq 0$ such that $NP = MP$, or in other words $(N - M)P = O$. $\quad\square$

Remark 11. Given Corollary 10, one may wonder if there is an analogous Lehmer type conjectural lower bound on the height of a non-torsion point on an elliptic curve E. See [Sil09, Chapter 8, Conjecture 9.9] for such a conjectural statement, where the shape of the lower bound of the height of a nontorsion point depends on some naturally associated invariants measuring the complexity of the elliptic curve E, such as the height of the j-invariant and the valuation of the minimal discriminant of E. (See Silverman's book for the definitions of these invariants.)

Theorem 12 (Descent). *Assume that $E(K)/2E(K)$ is finite, and let $P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_r$ be a finite set of coset representatives for $E(K)/2E(K)$. Then Theorem 9 implies that the set*

$$S := \{R \in E(K) \ : \ \hat{h}_E(R) \leq \max_i \hat{h}_E(P_i)\},$$

is finite and that it generates $E(K)$.

Proof. The set S is finite by the Northcott property for $\hat{h}_E$ in Theorem 9. Now let G be the subgroup of $E(K)$ generated by the set S. We want to show that $G = E(K)$. Suppose this is not true. Let P in $E(K)$ be an element of smallest height that is outside G. We will use the properties of $\hat{h}_E$ from Theorem 9 to produce an element R in $E(K)$ outside G of even smaller height, which will be a contradiction. (This explains the name "descent" for this step.)

Since $P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_r$ is a complete set of coset representatives for $E(K)/2E(K)$, we may write $P = P_i + 2R$ for some i between 1 and r and for some R in $E(K)$. Using the parallelogram law for $\hat{h}_E$ in Theorem 9, we compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
4\hat{h}_E(R) &= \hat{h}_E(2R) \\
&= \hat{h}_E(P - P_i) \\
&\leq 2\hat{h}_E(P) + 2\hat{h}_E(P_i) \\
&< 4\hat{h}_E(P) \qquad \text{since } P_i \in S \text{ and } P \notin S. \qquad \square
\end{aligned}
$$

We now return to the proof of the almost parallelogram law. The proof involves some explicit algebra using formulas for the group law of the elliptic curve. The third main feature of height functions that is crucial in this proof is the

<p style="text-align:center; color:blue">"functoriality of heights under morphisms of projective spaces."</p>

Proposition 13. [Sil09, Chapter 8, Theorem 5.6] *Suppose $F :: \mathbb{P}^N \to \mathbb{P}^M$ is a morphism of degree d over a number field K, i.e.*

$$
F(P) = [f_0(P) \colon \ldots \colon f_M(P)],
$$

where the f_i are homogeneous polynomials of degree d in $N+1$ variables with coefficients in the field K that have no common zeroes in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{N+1} \setminus (0, 0, \ldots, 0)$. Then

$$
h(F(P)) = dh(P) + O(1),
$$

where the implied constants in the $O(1)$ depend only on F and not on P.

We will prove this proposition in the next lecture, but note that we already saw such an instance of this functoriality when we compared two different definitions of the height of a Pythagorean triple all the way back in Lecture 1! The relevant degree 2 morphism in question was the parametrization map we used to make a complete list of all Pythagorean triples –

$$
\begin{aligned}
F \colon \mathbb{P}^1 &\to \mathbb{P}^2 \\
[p : q] &\mapsto [q^2 - p^2 : 2pq : q^2 + p^2].
\end{aligned}
$$

A dynamical analogue of an elliptic curve

Functoriality of heights under morphisms of projective spaces is also the key property that lets one define a canonical height function in the dynamical setting of a self-map $f : \mathbb{P}^n \to \mathbb{P}^n$.

In the dynamical setting, iteratively doubling a starting point P on an elliptic curve is replaced by iteratively applying the morphism f to a point P in $\mathbb{P}^n$. A good reference for learning about the arithmetic of dynamical systems is [Sil07]. For an integer $N \geq 1$, let $f^{\circ N} : \mathbb{P}^n \to \mathbb{P}^n$ be the morphism obtained by composing f with itself N times. If f has degree d, then $f^{\circ N}$ has degree d^N.

Definition 14. Suppose $f : \mathbb{P}^n \to \mathbb{P}^n$ is a morphism of degree $d \geq 2$ defined over a number field K as in Proposition 13. The canonical dynamical height associated to f is the function

$$\hat{h}_f : \mathbb{P}^n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \to \mathbb{R}$$

$$P \mapsto \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{h(f^{\circ N}(P))}{d^N}.$$

Lemma 15. *The dynamical canonical height is well-defined, i.e., the limit above exists.*

Proof. The proof is identical to the proof of Proposition 8. Proposition 18 a implies there is a constant C such that

$$|h(f(P)) - h(P)| \leq C$$

for all P in $\mathbb{P}^n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$. The replacement for the inequality 3 in Proposition 8 is the following analogous inequality for $N > M \geq 0$ proved using a telescoping sum and geometric series argument:

$$\left| \frac{h(f^{\circ N}(P))}{d^N} - \frac{h(f^{\circ M}(P))}{d^M} \right| \leq \frac{C}{(d-1)d^M}. \tag{7}$$

$\square$

Suggested exercises 16. Prove the inequality 7 and conclude that the sequence $\frac{h(f^{\circ N}(P))}{d^N}$ is Cauchy if $d \geq 2$ and hence converges.

The analogue of the torsion points on elliptic curves are the pre-periodic points for a rational map. These are the points whose orbit under f eventually enters a cycle.

Definition 17. Let $f : \mathbb{P}^n \to \mathbb{P}^n$ be a morphism defined over a number field K. A point P in $\mathbb{P}^n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ is a is periodic point for f if there exists $N > 0$ such that $f^{\circ N}(P) = P$. A point P in $\mathbb{P}^n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ is a pre-periodic point for f, if there exist integers $N > M \geq 0$ such that $f^{\circ N}(P) = f^{\circ M}(P)$.

Theorem 18. *Let $f : \mathbb{P}^n \to \mathbb{P}^n$ be a morphism of degree $d \geq 2$.*

(a) $\hat{h}_f(P) = h(P) + O(1)$, *where the implied constants in* $O(1)$ *are independent of the point P in $\mathbb{P}^n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$.*

(b) *(Canonicity)* $\hat{h}_f(f(P)) = d\hat{h}_f(P)$.

(c) *The function $\hat{h}_f$ is uniquely determined by properties a and b.*

(d) $\hat{h}_f(P) \geq 0$ *and* $\hat{h}_f(P) = 0$ *if an only if P is a pre-periodic point for f.*

Proof. Part 7 follows from the inequality 7 the same way that Theorem 9 a follows from the inequality 3. Part b is immediate from the limiting definition:

$$\hat{h}_f(f(P)) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{h(f^{\circ N}(f(P)))}{d^N} = d \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{h(f^{\circ N+1}(P))}{d^{N+1}} = d\hat{h}_f(P).$$

Part d applies the Northcott property and the canonicity analogous to the proof of Corollary 10. We instead consider the set of points $\{f(P), f^{\circ 2}(P), f^{\circ 3}(P), \ldots, \}$. Fill in the details! $\qquad\square$

Suggested exercises 19. Fill in the details of the proof of Theorem 18.

Remark 20. In fact, the canonical height on an elliptic curve (up to a constant multiple) is equal to the canonical height of the x-coordinate for the corresponding Lattés map $\mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1$ that expresses the x-coordinate of $2P$ as a degree 4 rational function evaluated at the x-coordinate at P.

Back to elliptic curves

For the almost parallelogram law, we will also need the following comparison, which is a generalization of the comparison inequality between two different height functions for an algebraic number that we proved in Lecture 2. Let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$ be any n algebraic numbers (not necessarily conjugate). Define

$$f(x) = a_0(x - \alpha_1)\ldots(x - \alpha_n) = a_0 x^n + a_1 x^{n-1} + \ldots + a_n.$$

Then

Proposition 21. [Sil09, Chapter 8, Theorem 5.9]

$$-n \log(2) + \sum_{i=1}^n h(\alpha_i) \le h([a_0 : \ldots : a_n]) \le (n-1) \log(2) + \sum_{i=1}^n h(\alpha_i)$$

Suggested exercises 22. Prove Proposition 21.

We will return to explicit algebra using formulas for the group law for the elliptic curve, together with Proposition 13 and Proposition 21 to prove the almost parallelogram law for h_E in the next lecture.

References

[Sil09] Joseph H. Silverman, *The arithmetic of elliptic curves*, 2nd ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 106, Springer, Dordrecht, 2009. MR2514094 ↑2, 3, 4, 5, 7

[Sil06] _____, *An Introduction to Height Functions* (2006). ↑2

[Sil07] _____, *The arithmetic of dynamical systems*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 241, Springer, New York, 2007. MR2316407 ↑6